RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 0 8 2004

Practitioner's Docket No. 63854-5003

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

William F. Scholz, Eric Chen-nan Su, Norman A. Conti, Johannes Schut,

David J. Scanlan, Steven J. Vargas, Ronald Ugolick, Jose Luis Mendez,

and Edward I. Sun

Application No.: 09/925,836

Group No.: 1772

Filed: 08/07/2001

Examiner: Ahmad, Nasser

For: OVERLAMINATED PRESSURE-SENSITIVE ADHESIVE CONSTRUCTION

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP

ox to guker NA od

Mail Stop AF **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

In response to the Advisory Action dated November 5, 2005, and in further response to the Office action dated July 8, 2004, Applicants encloses herewith a Petition for an Extension of Time, and respectfully request that the above-identified patent application be amended as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

TRANSMISSION

🖸 facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) 872 - 9306.

osen Fail

Dato: <u>December 8, 2004</u>

Karen Earl

(type or print name of parson certifying)

Amendment or Response After Final Rejection - page 1 of 5

Only the date of filling (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any configure of mailing or transmission under \$1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See \$1.703(f). Consider "Fixpress Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the raphy to be accorded the earliest possible filling date for patent term adjustment calculations.